

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/849,768	0	5/04/2001	Kurt J. Kruger	STT-0003	9872		
23413	7590	08/12/2002					
CANTOR COLBURN, LLP				EXAMINER			
55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH				PECHHOLD, AI	PECHHOLD, ALEXANDRA K		
BLOOMFIE	LD, CI (16002	•				
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				3671			
				DATE MAILED: 08/12/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	4					
Advisory Action	09/849,768	KRUGER ET AL.						
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Alexandra K Pechhold	3671						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 23 July 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]								
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:								
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment					
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			:					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-36</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·						
10. Other:								
S. Patent and Trademark Office								



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant argues that the major axis of Nichols is not located along an inner height of the chamber, since the major axis of an ellipse is the longer axis. Yet that depends on how you "complete" the ellipse from the shape of Nichols. True, the majo axis could be horizontal, but it could also be vertical (located along the inner height), depending on how you "fill in" the remainder of the

Thomas B. Will

Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3600